

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.supplement-1.395

STUDIES ON GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CHARACTER ASSOCIATION FOR YIELD AND ITS ATTRIBUTES IN MUNG BEAN (*VIGNA RADIATA* L. WILCZEK)

Amrish Verma*, Shiva Nath, Baudh Bharti, Javed, Alok Pal, Arvind Patel and Parsa Ram

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj) Ayodhya-224229, India. *Corresponding author e-mail: amrishverma224122@gmail.com (Date of Receiving : 04-10-2024; Date of Acceptance : 31-12-2024)

An experiment was carried out to estimate the genetic parameters such as variability, heritability, genetic advance, character association and path analysis for thirteen quantitative characters in 35 genotypes of green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Generally, the phenotypic correlation coefficients were higher than the corresponding genotypic coefficients of variation for all traits. The high heritability in broad sense was recorded for plant height, pods per plant, seed yield per plant, secondary branches per plant, 100-seed weight and primary branches per plant. The highest genetic advance as percent of mean was recorded for seed yield per plant, biological yield per plant and the high for the characters viz., pods per plant, secondary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per clusters, pods per plant, seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, biological yield per plant and harvest index showed a positive and highly significant correlation with seed yield per plant. Path analysis revealed that the pods per plant had a high positive and direct effect on seed yield. Keywords: Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, character association, path analysis.

Introduction

Mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] is selfpollinated diploid (2n=2x=22) with a smallest genome size of 579 MB/1C (Arumuganathan and Earle E. D. 1991). Mungbean probably originated in India (De Candole, 1886) or the Indo-Burma region (Vavilov, 1951). The mung bean (Vigna radiata (L.) R. Wilczek) are divided in 3 subgroups: it is cultivated edibile mung bean (Vigna radiata subsp. radiata), and that are two wild subgroups (Vigna radiata subsp. sublobata and Vigna radiata subsp. glabra). The seed colours and presence or absence of a rough layer are used to distinguish different types of golden gram (Lambrides *et al.*, 2006; Mogotsi, 2006). Cultivated types of mung bean, green or golden and can be light or dull depending on the presence of a texture layer

(Lambrides et al., 2006). Pulses are pretty naturalistic gifts. These are novel in their capability to fix biological nitrogen (30-40 kg N/ha), have a deep root system, mobilized to insoluble soil nutrients, improvement in soil physical attributes and restoring soil fertility. For example, green gram can be increasing the productivity of consequential rice crops by up to 8% because its fixes soil nitrogen via symbiosis and helps to cleave pest and disease cycles (Weinberger, 2003). The first draft genome sequence of green gram constructed to facilitate genomic research Kang et al., (2014). In addition, the World Vegetable Center (WorldVeg) has created a mung bean mini-core germplasm collection representing genetic resources from more than 6,700 accessions based on genotypic and phenotypic traits (Schafleitner et al., 2015). This mini-core collection has enabled the

identification of valuable lines and candidate genes for various traits, including hypocotyl pigmentation and maturation characteristics under extreme heat conditions and varying photoperiods (Sokolkova et al., 2020), seed coat lustre (Breria et al., 2020), Salinity tolerance during the germination stage is also a trait that has been studied and identified within this minicore collection (Breria et al., 2020), seed size (Akhtar et al., 2021), additionally, advantageous root traits linked to resistance against heat and drought stress have been pinpointed within this mini-core collection (Aski et al., 2021). Through this technique, yield attributing characters can be ranked and the specific traits, producing a given correlation can be headed (Rao et al., 2006). In highly self-pollinated crops like green gram, natural variability for yield and related traits is often limited. The intricate floral structure and specific micro conditions required for pollen dehiscence and fertilization make ahead selection for improvement impractical. Nonetheless, assessing the extent of genetic variation for yield components, their heritability values, and genetic advances can greatly assist breeders in identifying superior genotypes for enhancement. Estimates of genetic parameters offer insights into the relative importance of different types of gene effects influencing plant traits. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation, along with heritability and genetic advance, are crucial parameters for improving traits. It's paramount to select and evaluate varieties for their quantitative and yield traits in any breeding program before introducing them to specific local environments (Jonson, Robinson, & Comstock 1955). For efficient plant breeding programs, understanding the relationships among yield and its contributing traits is vital. Correlation coefficients between traits aid in constructing selection indices, but they can be misleading due to complex interrelations. То provide a more accurate interpretation, it's essential to split correlations into direct and indirect effects. Therefore, correlation analysis in conjunction with path coefficient analysis is necessary to quantitatively assess the direct and indirect influences of yield related traits. Additionally, studying genetic parameters such as genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation for the most influential traits is crucial for improving seed yield. When multiple traits influence a given characteristic, splitting the total correlation into direct effects is devised to gain deeper insights (Rahman et al., 1982). Indeed, path coefficient analysis offers a more meaningful explanation for the causes of association between traits. By quantifying the direct and indirect influences of one character on another, this method helps elucidate the underlying factors driving

correlations among traits. It provides a systematic approach to understanding the complex interrelations within a breeding program, ultimately facilitating more informed decision-making for trait selection and crop improvement (Dewey and Lu, 1959). The objective of the present study was to determine the variability parameters along with the correlation and path analysis is to understand the mode of inheritance and degree and direction of association of different yield component traits with the seed yield.

Material and Methods

The present investigation was carried out during kharif, 2022 at the Field Experimentation Centre, Genetics and Plant Breeding research farm, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya, India. The experimental material comprised of 35 green gram genotypes, which were raised in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Sowing was done by dibbling the seeds at a distance of 10 cm in the rows of 2 m length with row to row spacing of 30 cm. Non-experimental rows were planted around the layout to eliminate border effects. A basal dose of 20 kg N and 40 kg P₂O₅ ha-1 was applied at sowing time. All recommended cultural practices and plant protection measures were adopted to raise a good crop. The data on five randomly selected competitive plants in 35 treatments per replication were recorded for 13 quantitative traits viz., Days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per cluster, pods per plant, seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, biological yield per plant, harvest index and seed yield per plant. The statistical analysis for variance was worked out according to Panse and Phenotypic and Sukhatme (1961). genotypic coefficients of variation were calculated based on the method advocated by (Searle, 1961). Computation of heritability in broad sense (Hanson et al., 1963) and genetic advance in per cent of mean (Johnson et al., 1955). The path coefficient analysis was worked as suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959).

Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance (Table 1.) reveled highly significant differences for all thirteen characters among the genotypes in mung bean. Which gives the evidence of sufficient variability among the genotypes. The estimates of the phenotypic coefficients of variation were higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation indicating the influence of the environment in governing the characters. Signifying the presence of ample variation in the studied material (Supreetha *et al.*, 2023, Kamble *et al.*, 2023).

Table 2. The high estimates (>20%) of phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficient of variation were recorded for seed yield per plant (PCV = 26.76%, GCV = 24.31%), biological yield per plant (PCV= 23.99%, GCV=19.15%). The moderate estimates (10-20%) of PCV and GCV were recorded for pods per plant (PCV = 16.19%, GCV =14.84%) and pods per cluster (PCV=14.99%, GCV=7.77%), secondary branches per plant (PCV=14.85%, GCV=13.06%) and (PCV=14.72%, primary branches per plant GCV=12.91%), 100- seed weight, clusters per plants, harvest index, seeds per pod had moderate PCV with low GCV. The remaining characters days to 50% flowering, maturity, plant height exhibited low estimates (<10%) of PCV or GCV. This finding aligns with similar results reported by Srivastava et al. (2024), Jain et al. (2024), Mishra et al. (2023), Pinchhyo et al. (2016), Garg et al. (2017) and Kumar et al. (2020).

The estimates of heritability in broad sense (h2 b) and genetic advance in % of mean estimated for thirteen characters have been presented in Table 2. Quantitative characters are influenced more by the environment, obstructing the transmission of the phenotype observed to the next generation. Therefore, the study on the heritable portion of the variability is necessary. Heritability is a good index of characters from parents to off springs and helps as a tool for the selection of elite genotypes. Heritability estimates are categorized by Johnson et al. (1955). The estimates of broad sense heritability ranged from 26% (pods per cluster) to 84% (plant height and pods per plant). The high heritability in broad sense (>75%) was recorded for plant height (84%), pods per plant (84%), seed yield per plant, secondary branches per plant, 100-seed weight and primary branches per plant. Biological yield per plant, days to 50% flowering, harvest index showed moderate broad sense heritability (>50% to <75%) while low heritability (<50%) was found for clusters per plant, seeds per pod, pods per cluster only. Similar findings were obtained by Srivastava et al. (2024), Jain et al. (2024), Choudhry et al. (2017), Ghimire et al. (2017), Payasi (2015) and Kumar et al. (2013).

The highest genetic advance as a percentage of the mean was observed for seed yield per plant (45.51%) and biological yield per plant (31.51%). Additionally, significant genetic advances (>20%) were noted for traits such as pods per plant (28.03%), secondary

branches per plant (23.67%) and primary branches per plant (23.31%). Moderate estimates of genetic advance (ranging from >10% to 20% of the mean) were seen for 100-seed weight (19.56%), plant height (16.06%), harvest index (11.51%), maturity (10.07%), clusters per plant (8.55%) and pods per cluster (8.30%). Conversely, traits such as days to 50% flowering exhibited genetic advances of less than 10%. These findings closely align with the results reported by Aparna *et al.* (2015) and Azam *et al.* (2018) high estimates of heritability were found for pods per plant, plant height, seed yield per plant, days to maturity and 100-seed weight.

Table 3. Genotypic correlations were higher than the phenotypic correlations (Table 3) for most of the characters, which can be explained due to the masking or modifying effects of the environment on the genetic association between characters. Seed yield per plant exhibited highly significant and positive correlations with various traits: biological yield per plant (r_p = 0.91^{**} and $r_g = 0.98^{**}$), pods per plant ($r_p = 0.78^{**}$ and $r_g = 0.86^{**}$), seeds per pod ($r_p = 0.60^{**}$ and $r_g =$ 0.74**), 100-seed weight ($r_p = 0.53^{**}$ and $r_g = 0.64^{**}$), secondary branches per plant ($r_p = 0.52^{**}$ and $r_g =$ 0.66**), harvest index ($r_p = 0.48$ ** and $r_g = 0.76$ **) and plant height $(r_p = 0.40^{**} \text{ and } r_g = 0.46^{**})$. Additionally, primary branches per plant ($r_p = 0.36^{**}$ and $r_g = 0.48^{**}$ and pods per cluster ($r_p = 0.39^{**}$ and $r_g = 0.75^{**}$) displayed moderate positive correlations, highly negatively correlated with days to maturity ($r_p =$ -0.56** and r_{g} = -0.66**) and days to 50% flowering $(r_p = -0.45^{**} \text{ and } r_g = -0.64^{**})$. These findings are consistent with earlier research by Srivastava et al. (2024), Mishra et al. (2023), Navya et al. (2023).

Table 4. Path coefficient analysis revealed that the number of pods per plant had a phenotypic positive and direct effect on seed yield per plant (0.48) followed by seeds per pod (0.34), 100 seed weight (0.31), biological yield per plant (026), plant height (0.013), days to maturity (0.010), harvest index (0.09), days to 50% flowering (0.02), and primary branches per plant (0.007) and the pods per cluster had a genotypic positive and direct effect on seed yield per plant (0.53) followed by pods per plant (0.49), seeds per pod (0.48), 100 seed weight (0.44), primary branches per plant (0.29) and clusters per plant (0.03). These findings are consistent with earlier research by Patel et al. (2024), Aravinth et al. (2023), Kumar et al. (2018), Parihar et al. (2018), Ahmad and Belwal, (2020), Agbeleye et al. (2020) and Ghimire et al. (2017).

Studies on genetic variability and character association for yield and its attributes in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. wilczek)

	Mean Squares							
Source of variation	Replications	Treatments	Error					
d.f.	2	36**	72					
Days to 50% flowering	0.17	15.10**	3.07					
Days to maturity	1.54	43.93**	3.01					
Plant height (cm)	0.68	115.71**	6.57					
Primary branches per plant	0.16	0.35**	0.03					
Secondary branches per plant	0.50	0.56**	0.04					
Clusters per plant	0.72	1.59**	0.67					
Pods per cluster	0.75	1.45**	0.69					
Number of pods per plant	9.81	159.42**	9.48					
Number of seeds per pod	0.27	1.15**	0.57					
100 seed weight (g)	0.01	0.93**	0.07					
Biological yield per plant (g)	58.65	303.71**	48.36					
Harvest index (%)	2.90	30.50**	7.35					
Seed yield per plant (g)	5.003	56.33**	3.70					

Table 1 : Analysis of variance for randomized block design for 13 characters in mung bean

Table 2 : Estimate of range, coefficient of variation (PCV, GCV), heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance in percent of mean for 13 characters in mung bean

	Conoral		Ra	nge		Coeffic	cient of	Horitability	Genetic	Gen. Adv
Traits	Moon	Cro	Crosses		Parents		on (%)	(%)	Advance	% of
	Witali	Min	Max	Min	Max	PCV	GCV	(70)		Mean 1%
Days to 50% flowering	43.09	38	44.33	40.33	47.67	6.17	4.64	56	3.10	7.19
Days to maturity	68.36	61.67	75.67	65.33	78.33	5.96	5.40	81	6.88	10.07
Plant height (cm)	71.18	65	80.33	57.67	74.33	9.20	8.47	84	11.43	16.06
Primary branches per plant	2.54	2.20	3.50	1.73	2.53	14.72	12.91	76	0.59	23.31
Secondary branches per plant	3.16	3.10	4.03	2.30	2.93	14.85	13.06	77	0.74	23.67
Clusters per plant	7.43	6.33	9.00	6.00	8.00	13.31	7.43	31	0.63	8.55
Pods per cluster	6.48	5.33	7.66	5.33	7.00	14.99	7.77	26	0.53	8.30
Number of pods per plant	47.62	40	62.33	35.33	48.33	16.19	14.84	84	13.35	28.03
Number of seeds per pod	7.14	6.33	8.66	6.00	7.00	12.28	6.16	25	0.45	6.38
100 seed weight (g)	5.02	4.3	5.93	3.80	6.00	11.92	10.64	79	0.98	19.56
Biological yield per plant (g)	48.15	38.42	71.32	33.64	50.02	23.99	19.15	63	15.17	31.51
Harvest index (%)	35.55	33.02	38.97	27.21	38.57	10.91	7.81	51	4.09	11.51
Seed yield per plant (g)	17.22	14.58	27.54	10.16	16.10	26.76	24.31	82	7.84	45.51

Table 3 : P	henotypic and	genotypic	correlation	coefficients	between	different	characters	in I	Mung	bean
-------------	---------------	-----------	-------------	--------------	---------	-----------	------------	------	------	------

Traits	Phenotypic (r _p), Genotypic (r _g)	Maturity	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches per plant	Secondary branches per plant	Clusters per plants	Pods per cluster	Number of pods per plant	Number of seeds per pod	100 seed weight (g)	Biological yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	Seed yield per plant (g)
Days to	r _p	0.10	-0.43**	-0.18	-0.39**	-0.33**	-0.15	-0.43**	-0.29**	-0.16	-0.41**	-0.29**	-0.45**
50% flowering	r _g	0.009	-0.70**	-0.19*	-0.64**	-0.69**	-0.46**	-0.58**	-0.71**	-0.30**	-0.49**	-0.90**	-0.64**
Moturity	r _p		0.008	-0.40**	-0.24*	-0.23*	-0.27	-0.46**	-0.23*	-0.39**	-0.57**	-0.17	-0.56**
Waturity	r _g		0.02	-0.47**	-0.33**	-0.46**	-0.62**	-0.57**	-0.43**	-0.47**	-0.72**	-0.37**	-0.66**
Plant height	r _p			0.09	0.40**	0.25**	0.20	0.40**	0.24**	0.11	0.26**	0.45**	0.40**
(cm)	r _g			0.10	0.49**	0.51**	0.38**	0.48**	0.51**	0.08**	0.37**	0.57**	0.46**
Primary	r _p				0.53**	0.12	0.21	0.23*	0.14	0.37**	0.31**	0.23*	0.36**
branches per plant	rg				0.71**	0.26**	0.52**	0.32**	0.45**	0.43**	0.47**	0.39**	0.48**
Secondary	rp					0.31**	0.30	0.49**	0.29**	0.25**	0.38**	0.46**	0.52**
branches per plant	r _g					0.56**	0.77**	0.64**	0.67**	0.28**	0.57**	0.69**	0.66**
Clusters per	r _p						-0.34	0.49**	0.19*	0.21*	0.39**	0.32**	0.46**

2867

Amrish Verma et al.

plant	r _g			0.86**	0.98**	0.59**	0.33**	0.81**	0.83**	0.86**
Pods per	rp				0.58**	0.04	0.03	0.36**	0.19*	0.39**
cluster	r _g				0.88**	0.50**	0.22*	0.73**	0.65**	0.75**
Number of	r _p					0.21*	0.17	0.69**	0.42**	0.78**
pods per plant	r _g					0.60**	0.25**	0.84**	0.72**	0.86**
Number of	r _p						-0.002	0.55**	0.29**	0.60**
seeds per pod	r _g						0.25**	0.65**	0.78**	0.74**
100 seed	r _p							0.50**	0.26**	0.53**
weight (g)	r _g							0.67**	0.39**	0.64**
Biological	rp								0.11	0.91**
yield per plant (g)	r _g								0.65**	0.98**
Harvest	r _p									0.48**
index (%)	r _g									0.76**

Table 4 : Phenotypic and Genotypic direct and indirect effects of different characters on seed yield per plant in Mung bean

Traits		Days to 50% flowering	Maturity	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches per plant	Secondary branches per plant	Clusters per plant	Pods per clusters	Number of pods per plant	Number of seeds per pod	100 seed weight (g)	Biological yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	Seed yield per plant (g)
Days to	Р	0.02	0.001	-0.006	-0.001	0.007	0.01	0.007	-0.21	-0.10	-0.05	-0.11	-0.02	-0.45**
50% flowering	G	-1.26	-0.003	0.04	-0.05	0.39	-0.02	-0.24	-0.29	-0.34	-0.13	0.12	1.16	-0.64**
Days to	Р	0.003	0.010	0.000	-0.003	0.004	0.01	0.01	-0.22	-0.07	-0.12	-0.15	-0.01	-0.56**
maturity	G	-0.01	-0.33	-0.00	-0.13	0.20	-0.01	-0.33	-0.28	-0.20	-0.21	0.18	0.48	-0.66**
Plant	Р	-0.01	0.000	0.013	0.001	-0.007	-0.01	-0.009	0.19	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.40**
height (cm)	G	0.89	-0.007	-0.05	0.02	-0.30	0.01	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.03	-0.09	-0.74	0.46**
Primary	Р	-0.004	-0.004	0.001	0.007	-0.009	-0.006	-0.009	0.11	0.04	0.11	0.08	0.02	0.36**
branches per plant	G	0.25	0.15	-0.006	0.29	-0.43	0.009	0.28	0.16	0.22	0.19	-0.12	-0.51	0.48**
Secondary	Р	-0.009	-0.002	0.005	0.004	-0.018	-0.01	-0.01	0.24	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.52**
branches per plant	G	0.81	0.11	-0.02	0.20	-0.61	0.02	0.41	0.31	0.32	0.12	-0.14	-0.89	0.66**
Clusters	Р	-0.008	-0.002	0.003	0.001	-0.005	-0.04	0.01	0.24	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.03	0.46**
per plant	G	0.87	0.15	-0.03	0.07	-0.34	0.03	0.46	0.48	0.28	0.14	-0.20	-1.06	0.86**
Pods per	Р	-0.004	-0.003	0.003	0.002	-0.005	0.01	-0.04	0.28	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.39**
cluster	G	0.58	0.20	-0.02	0.15	-0.47	0.03	0.53	0.43	0.24	0.10	-0.18	-0.84	0.75**
Number of	Р	-0.01	-0.005	0.005	0.002	-0.009	-0.02	-0.02	0.48	0.07	0.05	0.18	0.04	0.78**
pods per plant	G	0.74	0.19	-0.02	0.09	-0.39	0.03	0.47	0.49	0.29	0.11	-0.21	-0.93	0.86**
Number of	Р	-0.007	-0.002	0.003	0.001	-0.005	-0.009	-0.002	0.10	0.34	-0.001	0.14	0.02	0.60**
seeds per pod	G	0.90	0.14	-0.03	0.13	-0.41	0.02	0.27	0.29	0.48	0.11	-0.16	-1.003	0.74**
100 seed	Р	-0.004	-0.004	0.002	0.003	-0.005	-0.01	-0.002	0.08	-0.001	0.31	0.13	0.02	0.53**
weight (g)	G	0.38	0.15	-0.005	0.12	-0.17	0.01	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.44	-0.17	-0.50	0.64**
Biological	Р	-0.01	-0.006	0.003	0.002	-0.007	-0.01	-0.01	0.34	0.18	0.15	0.26	0.01	0.91**
yield per plant (g)	G	0.63	0.24	-0.02	0.13	-0.35	0.02	0.39	0.41	0.31	0.30	-0.25	-0.84	0.98**
Harvest	Р	-0.007	-0.002	0.006	0.002	-0.008	-0.01	-0.008	0.20	0.10	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.48**
index (%)	G	1.14	0.12	-0.03	0.11	-0.42	0.02	0.35	0.35	0.37	0.17	-0.16	-1.28	0.76**

*,** Significant at 5% and 1% level , respectively. P= Phenotypic & G= Genotypic, R SQUARE = 0.9888 RESIDUAL EFFECT = 0.1060 & R SQUARE = 1.0205 RESIDUAL EFFECT = SQRT (1-1.0205)

2868



Fig. 2 : Genotypical path diagram for seed yield and yield components in mung bean

References

- Agbeleye, O. A., Akinyosoye, S. T., & Adetumbi, J. A. (2020). Correlation, path coefficient and principal component analysis of yield components in mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilcezk] accessions. Tropical Agriculture, 97(4).
- Ahmad, S., & Belwal, V. (2020). Study of correlation and path analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *IJCS*, **8**(1), 2140-2143.
- Aski, M. S., Rai, N., Reddy, V. R. P., Gayacharan, Dikshit, H. K., Mishra, G. P., ... & Schafleitner, R. (2021).
 Assessment of root phenotypes in mungbean minicore collection (MMC) from the World Vegetable Center (AVRDC) Taiwan. *PLoS One*, **16**(3), e0247810.
- Aparna Raturi, A. R., Singh, S. K., Vinay Sharma, V. S., & Rakesh Pathak, R. P. (2015). Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and path analysis in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek].
- Aravinth, R., NB, J.K., Wilson, D., Vasanthi, A.P. and Kumar, D. (2023). Association analysis studies in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) genotypes for yield and its contributing traits. *Biological Forum – An International Journal* 15(5), 937-942.
- Azam, M. G., Hossain, M. A., Alam, M. S., Rahman, K. S., & Hossain, M. (2018). Genetic variability, heritability and correlation path analysis in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek).
- Breria, C. M., Hsieh, C. H., Yen, J. Y., Nair, R., Lin, C. Y., Huang, S. M., ... & Schafleitner, R. (2020). Population structure of the world vegetable center mungbean mini core collection and genome-wide association mapping of loci associated with variation of seed coat luster. *Tropical Plant Biology*, **13**, 1-12.
- De Candolle A. Origin of cultivated plants. Hafner Publication Co., New York, N. Y. (Reprint of 2nd ed. 1959). 1886.
- Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K. (1959). A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production 1. Agronomy journal, 51(9): 515-518.
- Garg, G.K.; Verma, P.K. and Kesh, H. (2017). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 6(11): 2166-2173.
- Ghimire, S.; Khanal, A.; Kohar, G.R.; Acharya, B.; Basnet, A.; Kandel, P.; Subedi, B. and Dhakal, K. (2017). Variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield attributing traits in different genotypes of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) in Rupandehi, Nepal. *Int. J. Exp. Res. Rev.*, **13**:18-25.
- Hanson, W.D. (1956). Heritability in: WD Hanson and HF Robinson (Ed) Statistical Genetics and Plant Breeding Publ. 1982, Washington, DC National Academy of Science, National Research council, 125-139.
- Jain, N., Sikarwar, R. S., Tripathi, M. K., & Tiwari, S. (2024). Evaluation of genetic parameters for yield and its attributing traits in green gram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 14(2), 482-487.
- Jain, N., Sikarwar, R. S., Tripathi, M. K., Tiwari, S., Rahangdale, S., & Barela, A. (2024). Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for

seed yield and its contributing traits in green gram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 14(3), 250-256.

- Johnson, H.W.; Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. Agron. J., 47: 314-318.
- Kang, Y. J., Kim, S. K., Kim, M. Y., Lestari, P., Kim, K. H., Ha, B. K., ... & Lee, S. H. (2014). Genome sequence of mung bean and insights into evolution within *Vigna* species. *Nature communications*, 5(1), 5443.
- Kamble, S. S., Patil, D. K., Rathod, V. B., & Gite, V. K. (2023). Genetic variability analysis for yield and yield components in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 12(12), 849-853.
- Kumar, K., Prasad, Y., Mishra, S.B., Pandey, S.S and Kumar, R. (2013). Studies on Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path analysis with Grain yield and Yield attributing traits in Green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *The Bioscan*. 8(4): 1551-1555.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, N. K., Kumar, R., Sanadya, S. K., & Sahoo, S. (2018). Correlation and path analysis for seed yield and components traits in mungbean under arid environment. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(4), 1679-1681.
- Kumar, A.; Sharma, N.K.; Kumar, R.; Sanadya, S.K.; Sahoo, S. and Yadav, M.K. (2020). Study of genetic variability parameters for seed yield and its component traits in mung bean germplasm under arid environment. *Internatiinal Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(4): 2308-2311.
- Mishra, A., Shweta, T. R., & Tiwari, A. P. (2023). Studies on character association and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield related traits in green gram [*vigna radiata* (1.) wilczek]. Asian Jr. of Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sc. 25(4), 717-721.
- Navya, C., Lal, G. M., & Thomas, N. (2023). Direct and indirect effects of yield contributing characters on seed yield in green gram (*Vigna radiate* (L.) Wilczek). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, **35**(19), 612-622.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1954). Statistical methods for agricultural workers.
- Parihar, R., Agrawal, A. P., Sharma, D. J., & Minz, M. G. (2018). Character association and path analysis studies on seed yield and its yield attributing traits in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Journal of Pharmacognosy* and Phytochemistry, 7(1), 2148-2150.
- Payasi, D.K. (2015). Genetic Variability Analysis for Seed Yield and its Components in Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *International Journal of Plant Breeding* and Genetics. 9(3): 177-188.
- Pinchhyo, B.; Lal, G. M. and Thomas, N. (2016). Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) germplasm. *I.J.A.S.*, 8(51): 2267-2272.
- Rao, C. M., Rao, Y. K. and Reddy M. (2006). Genetic variability and path analysis in mung bean. *Legume Research.* 29: 216-218.
- Schafleitner, R., Nair, R. M., Rathore, A., Wang, Y. W., Lin, C. Y., Chu, S. H., ... & Ebert, A. W. (2015). The AVRDC– The World Vegetable Center mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) core and mini core collections. *BMC genomics*, **16**, 1-11.

2871

Searle, S.R. (1961). Phenotypic, genetic and environmental correlations. *Biometrics*, **17**(3), 474-48.

[Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science, **36**(5), 402-411.

- Sokolkova, A., Burlyaeva, M., Valiannikova, T., Vishnyakova, M., Schafleitner, R., Lee, C. R., ... & von Wettberg, E. (2020). Genome-wide association study in accessions of the mini-core collection of mungbean (Vigna radiata) from the World Vegetable Gene Bank (Taiwan). BMC plant biology, 20, 1-9
- Srivastava, M., Manojkumar, H. G., & Singh, A. (2024). Assessment of Correlation and Path Analysis for Seed Yield and its Component Characters in Green gram
- Supreetha, K., Mogali, S. C., Bhat, S., & Patil, R. (2023). Genetic variability and correlation studies on seed yield and yield components in advanced breeding lines of mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *J. Farm Sci.*, **36**(4), 329-332.
- Vavilov N.I. (1951). The Origin, Variation, Immunity and Breeding of Cultivated Plants. (Translation by K.S. Chester). Chron. Bot., 13:1-364.